

# EXHIBIT H

**CONFIDENTIAL INFORMATION**

John M. Neukom  
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE,  
MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
515 University Avenue, Suite 1400  
Palo Alto, California 94301  
(650) 470-4500

Jordan A. Feirman  
Leslie A. Demers  
SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE,  
MEAGHER & FLOM LLP  
One Manhattan West  
New York, New York 10001  
(212) 735-3000

*Attorneys for Extreme Networks, Inc.*

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TENNESSEE**

----- X  
SNMP RESEARCH, INC. and SNMP RE- : Case No. 3:20-cv-00451-JRG-DCP  
SEARCH INTERNATIONAL, INC., :  
Plaintiffs, :  
v. :  
BROADCOM INC.; BROCADE COMMUNI- :  
CATIONS SYSTEMS LLC; and EXTREME :  
NETWORKS, INC., :  
Defendants. :  
----- X

**EXTREME NETWORKS, INC.’S  
SECOND SUPPLEMENTAL  
RESPONSES AND OBJECTIONS  
TO SNMP RESEARCH, INC.’S  
FIRST SET OF  
INTERROGATORIES**

Pursuant to Rules 26 and 33 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, defendant Extreme Networks, Inc. (“Extreme”), by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby supplements its Objections to SNMP Research, Inc.’s (together with SNMP Research International, Inc., “SNMP” or “Plaintiffs”) First Set of Interrogatories to Extreme Networks, Inc., dated December 26, 2020 (the “Interrogatories”) as follows:

investigations, including to reflect meet and confers regarding the proper scope of the term “SNMP Research Software.”

Through this Response, Extreme does not concede that it infringes any valid copyrighted works held by SNMP that are asserted in this litigation, that these products include “SNMP Research Software” as problematically defined, nor that these products include any of the allegedly copyrighted works listed in Table 1 of the Complaint.

**INTERROGATORY NO. 8:**

Identify all internal Communications in which there was any discussion or Communication whatsoever concerning: (1) whether Extreme had a right to use SNMP Research Software in Extreme Products, including but not limited to any particular Extreme Product; and (2) payment obligations of Extreme for the use of SNMP Research Software.

**RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 8:**

In addition to the General Objections, including without limitation the objection to all Interrogatories due to the pending discovery posture and motions and the objections to the problematic terms “SNMP Research Software” and “Products,” Extreme objects to this Interrogatory as overly broad, unduly burdensome, seeking information that is neither relevant nor proportional to the needs of the case, and duplicative of Plaintiffs’ Requests for Production of Documents Nos. 24 and 27. Extreme further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent it purports to concern “discussion or communication” concerning Extreme products that do not contain the software allegedly infringed as set forth in Table 1 of the Complaint. Extreme further objects to this Interrogatory to the extent that it seeks privileged communications and/or attorney work product, including specifically communications “whether Extreme had a right to use” certain software.

**SUPPLEMENTAL RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 8:**

Extreme incorporates all objections from its Response, except its objection based on the pending discovery posture and motions.

Subject to and without waiving the foregoing objections, and subject to agreements reached during meet and confers, Extreme is not aware of non-privileged information for this Interrogatory at this time. Extreme is continuing to investigate the subject matter of this Interrogatory and reserves the right to supplement, amend, or revise its response to this Interrogatory to identify any non-privileged information uncovered in its ongoing investigations, including to reflect meet and confers regarding the proper scope of the term SNMP Research Software.

Through this Response, Extreme does not concede that it infringes any valid copyrighted works held by SNMP that are asserted in this litigation, that these products include “SNMP Research Software” as problematically defined, nor that these products include any of the allegedly copyrighted works listed in Table 1 of the Complaint.

**INTERROGATORY NO. 9:**

Identify the revenues and costs of any other Products or services (including but not limited to installation or other services) that were sold with or as a result of the purchase of the Products Identified in response to Interrogatory numbers 1 and 2. Please delineate the aforementioned revenues and costs by Product or service name.

**RESPONSE TO INTERROGATORY NO. 9:**

Extreme incorporates by reference its response to Interrogatories 1 and 2. Extreme further objects to this Interrogatory as overly broad, unduly burdensome, and seeking irrelevant information, including to the extent that it purports to concern products that do not contain the software/source code alleged to be infringed in the Complaint. Extreme further objects to this Interrogatory as ambiguous insofar as it purports to concern “Products or services”

Dated: August 27, 2021

**SKADDEN, ARPS, SLATE,  
MEAGHER & FLOM LLP**

By: /s/ John M. Neukom

John M. Neukom  
515 University Avenue, Suite 1400  
Palo Alto, California 94301  
(650) 470-4500  
john.neukom@skadden.com

Jordan A. Feirman  
Leslie A. Demers  
One Manhattan West  
New York, NY 10001  
(212) 735-3000  
jordan.feirman@skadden.com  
leslie.demers@skadden.com

*Attorneys for Extreme Networks, Inc.*

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing Extreme Networks Inc.'s Second Supplemental Responses and Objections to SNMP Research, Inc.'s First Set of Interrogatories was served via email on this 27th day of August, 2021 upon counsel of record for Plaintiffs.

/s/ John M. Neukom

John M. Neukom